

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PABLO SANCHEZ, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
HEARST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [20-cv-05147-VC](#)

**ORDER RE HEARING ON
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 114

It appears that the following aspects of the Settlement Agreement, Revised Notice, and Proposed Order do not comply with the Court's standing order:

- The Settlement Agreement and Revised Notice do not explicitly state that class members are releasing claims based only on the identical factual predicate.
- The Settlement Agreement and Proposed Order include an injunction enjoining litigation regarding the released claims pending final approval.
- The Settlement Agreement, Revised Notice, and Proposed Order do not make clear that an objector may appear in court to object to the settlement without filing a written objection upon a showing of good cause.
- The Settlement Agreement does not include a provision for redistributing unclaimed funds to class members who claimed their share.

Counsel should either re-file documents that cure these problems or come prepared to the hearing to discuss why the current documents are adequate. Any revised documents are due by 4:30pm on November 16, 2022.

//

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 15, 2022



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge